

Concept of Fossil Fuel Production through Fermentation

JV'n Ravina Patidar, JV'n Priya Dhuriya and JV'n Kalpana Choudhary

JV'n Komal Sharma, Assistant Professor

Department of Food and Biotechnology, Faculty of Education and Methodology

Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University, Jaipur

Email : komalgoutam675@gmail.com

ABSTRACT :

The difficulties with fossil fuels caused by hazardous carbon dioxide emissions and global warming are closely linked to the particulate matter that has presented a severe danger to the environment. Biomaterials-based goods like biodiesel and bio-compressed natural gas (Bio-CNG) could be more reasonably priced and adaptable. Biofuel are being used more and more in the creation of transportation, heating, and electrical systems as the need for sustainable energy sources rises. This evaluation emphasizes the use of organic waste from soil and aquatic ecosystems in order to provide renewable energy for human needs while preserving a clean and healthy environment. Deck has the potential to generate bioenergy, therefore lowering greenhouse gas emissions and safeguarding the environment. Fuels like hydrogen, ethanol, butane, and algal diesel.

Keywords : Biofuels, Production methods, Bioenergy, Extraction; Anaerobic digestion, Thermo economic approach

1. INTRODUCTION :

Biofuel are a sort of energy fuel created from biological material (commonly referred to as biomass) produced by plants and other living things that may be cultivated and gathered constantly. Biofuel have taken the place of nonrenewable energy sources. The major sources of fuel are agricultural and necessary harvests, wooded areas, and residual streams. Due and colleagues (2018). Pollute and colleagues (2015). Agarwal et al. (2018).

Biofuel frequently originate from vegetable oils, recycled wax, or animal fats, bioethanol, which is distilled from plants that contain sugar and starch, such as maize, or biogas. Petroleum oil is one of the world's most important energy sources. The transportation industry utilizes more than 70% of all petroleum fuel. According to projections, due to the massive rise in petroleum use, the world will run out of petroleum by 2070-2080. Lignocelluloses biomass is composed of crops, farm waste, and waste from manufacturing plants. The advancement of lignocelluloses biofuel helps to alleviate the impact of the food and environmental crises.

The following are commonly included in the production of lignocelluloses biomass: cellulose (41-46%), hemicelluloses (25-32%), and lignin (26-31%). Pretreatment for enzymatic hydrolysis involves fermentation to increase the amount of the desired product. Vickers, (2017), Kumar, & Singh (2018) identified three kinds of pretreatment techniques: synthetic, biological, and physical. After that,

employing pretreated biomass in combination with enzymatic saccharification and microbial fermentation may yield the required outcome. Oleaginous organisms convert carbohydrates into fatty acids or oil through a variety of metabolic processes, including fermentation Shields, et al.,(2018).As alternatives to derived from petroleum transportation fuels, biofuel are garnering more and more attention on a worldwide scale in an effort to help solve issues with energy costs, energy security, and global warming associated to liquid fossil fuels. Here, the term "biofuel" refers to any liquid fuel generated from plant material that may be used in place of petrol created from petroleum. Biofuel can range in familiarity from fairly well-known fuels like ethanol created from sugar cane or diesel-like fuel produced from soybean oil to less recognizable fuels like diethyl ether (DME) or Fischer-Tropic liquids (FTL) manufactured from lignocelluloses biomass. Liquid biofuel are classified as "first-generation" or "second-generation" fuels, a concept that has only recently gained traction. These words lack precise technical definitions. The key distinction between them is the feedstock they use. Microalgae biomass, a raw material rich in biological polysaccharides, was an early fuel type.

2. CLASSIFICATION OF BIOFULES :

A First-generation Biofuel

B Second-generation Biofuel

C Third-generation Biofuel

D Fourth-generation Biofuel

2.1. First-generation Biofuel : Other food items or crops used as animal feed are the main sources of the first-generation biofuel, as reported by Jeswani et al. (2020). These biofuel are sometimes referred to as "conventional biofuel" since they are created utilizing a number of well-known techniques and technologies, including fermentation, distillation, and transesterification Jeswani, et al. (2020). Membranes are not required in these procedures because the major goal of the procedure is to produce fuel and dump the leftover non-fuel material as trash Oumer, et.al., (2018).

2.2 Second-generation Biofuel : Second-generation is entirely produced from non-food sources including specialist energy crops, other lignocelluloses plants, agricultural waste, forestry waste, and other waste materials. Jeswani and others (2020). Since it focuses on improving fuel recovery and producing secondary raw materials, the second generation of biofuel production is advancement over the first. It is an economically feasible technique because, unlike the first-biofuel generation method, it focuses on producing useful fuels while decreasing the cost of energy overall and the quantity of waste generated. In order to boost the production of biofuel, researchers regularly advocate techniques like membrane filtration and the integration of several biorefineries. A variety of hemophilic and thermophile organisms are used to make biofuel, organic acids, and amino acids.

2.3. Third-generation Biofuels : Third-generation biofuel are created from microalgae by transesterifying or hydrotreating the algal oil Jeswani et al., (2020). When compared to first generation biofuel that use conventional crops, these strategies can significantly increase biofuel output on an annual basis. Hafez and colleagues (2020), the second and third generations of biofuel

are collectively referred to as advanced biofuel due to continued development and research Jeswani et al., (2020). Workable materials that do not disturb the food chain, are practical, conveniently accessible, and adaptable to changing environmental circumstances are among the primary sources. The bulk of these sources include microalgae, animal oils, fish oils, used cooking oil, and other substances. The ability to reduce water pollution and the amount of waste handled at sites is another significant advance. M. Tariq, D. Sharma, et al., et al., (2019).

2.4. Fourth-generation Biofuel : The fourth generation of biofuel is produced using GM algae, photo biological solar fuels, and electro-fuels. D. Sharma and colleagues (2019). Abdullah and colleagues (2019). The GM algal biomass is effective at generating biofuel while also enhancing light penetration and photosynthetic efficiency. Abdullah and colleagues (2019). The raw materials for fourth-generation solar panels are widely available, more cost-effective, and limitless. Genetic modification of macroalgal biomass has the potential to be used in oil extraction methods by triggering autolysis of cells and product secretary systems. Zinc-finger nuclease (ZFN), transcription-like effectors nucleases (TALEN), and clustered regularly interspaced palindrome sequences (CRISPR/Cas9) are typical bioinformatics methods used for genome editing. Abdullah and colleagues (2019). Maeda and colleagues (2018).

3. Fermentation Process : Recent genetic engineering has generated microorganisms that ferment pentose's in place of the conventional fermenting yeast (*S. cerevisiae*), which produces ethanol from hexodes. Addition of ethanol to hexodes Dien, et al., (2003), Wooley, et al., (1999). The common baker's yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *S. cerevisiae* NBRC 2346, recombinant bacterial strains *E. coli* KO11, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Zymomonas mobilis*, or a yeast strain *Pichia stypitic* were all investigated during the research Krahulec, et al., (2012). The fermentation technique that is currently being developed uses particular fermenters in a sequential fermentation process to allow for the best possible recovery of ethanol from a variety of biomass substrates.

4. TYPES OF BIOFULES

- o Solid Biofuel
- o Liquid Biofuel
- o Gaseous Biofuel

4.1. Solid Biofuel : These biofuel are created from solid, organic, non-fossil biomass obtained from living things. These biomasses have significant use in the generation of heat, energy, and electricity. Dahman and colleagues (2019). These biofuel are made from industrial waste that is renewable, such as charcoal, fuelwood, wood pellets, wood scraps, and animal waste. One such example is biochar. Allan et al., 2019.

4.2. Liquid Biofuel : These biofuel encompass all liquids produced from organic material or biodegradable components. Allan and others (2019). Liquid biofuel are preferable to solid and gaseous biofuel in many aspects because to their high energy density, making them an ideal option for transportation, storage, and retrofitting. Hou and others (2016). The most significant major examples of liquid biofuel are bioethanol, biodiesel, and biooil. Another group of liquid biofuel is

glycoside-based biofuel, which include biomass such as vegetable oil, pyrolytic oil, biodiesel, hydrogenated oil, and bio-gasoline. A few examples of lignocelluloses-based biofuel are bio-oils, BTL diesel, and drop-in biofuel. Zhou and others (2016).

- 4.3. Gaseous Biofuel :** Low-density gaseous biofuel are inherently gaseous. Some prominent examples are biogas, biohydrogen, and biosyngas. No, & No, (2019). To create gaseous biofuel, biomasses are paralyzed or gasified. These biofuel are then utilized in Otto engines coupled to an electrical generator to create power or heat.

5. The concept of food waste in connection to the production of biofuel.

Food waste development is a global socioeconomic problem which impacts environmental quality and human social justice through relationships with several other resources, including water and energy. (2011) Ingram. The use of food crops for the manufacture of biofuel added to the problem, placing additional strain on the food supply and increasing food waste. (2017) Moil et al. (2014) Sprang, et al. (2014) Popp, et al. To solve the issue of food waste generation after generation, advanced technology and direct public interventions are required, according to Gram (2011). You must pay attention to it. First, by conducting a personal assessment and emphasizing customer attitudes and habits about waste laws. Targeting the local levels and monitoring the municipal government's attempts to reduce garbage generation. Monitoring the upper levels of government is one of the tasks for the third stage.

- 5.1 Energy Implications.** The production of biofuel has a considerable influence on energy output. There are first, second, third, and fourth generations. It consumes a substantial quantity of energy. As a result of biofuel, unconventional fossil fuels have received a lot of interest as a possible source of energy. Origin Hung, (2002). Biomass is used to generate biofuel, and biomass derived from cellulosic bioenergy crops has grown in importance in recent years. In future energy systems. Because the global potential for bioenergy is limited, it is critical to utilize alternative resources in a sustainable manner. Molino and colleagues (2021). The water and food nexuses, as well as the energy nexus, are highly interdependent. As a result, eliminating global energy shortage will include careful control of food and agricultural production, as well as energy.

- 5.2 Food implications.** While second-generation biofuel are produced from cellulose-rich agricultural waste, first-generation biofuel can be produced from a range of food crops. Maize and sugarcane are used to make first-generation biofuel like bioethanol, whereas soybean, rapeseed, and palm oil are used to make biodiesel. Hoekstra & again, 2003. The competitiveness of flexible crops (crops used for nutrition and energy) in the food and biofuel sectors in countries with a food shortage is highlighted by the food efficiency of food impact. (2008) Fargione and associates. 90 to 110 persons may be fed by the crops required to make one tone of biofuel (bioethanol and biodiesel). As a result, there is intense competition among living organisms for access to food. Direct consumption of the maize and wheat used in the manufacturing of bioethanol is permitted.

LIMITATIONS : First-generation biofuel may be made from a variety of food crops, but second-generation biofuel are made from agricultural waste that is high in cellulose. First-generation biofuel like bioethanol are produced using maize and sugarcane, whereas biodiesel is produced using soybean,

rapeseed, and palm oil. 2003; Hoekstra and again. The food efficiency of food impact draws attention to the competitiveness of flexible crops (crops utilized for both nourishment and energy) in the food and biofuel sectors in nations with a food shortage. (2008) Fargione and partners. The crops needed to produce one tone of biofuel (bioethanol and biodiesel) could feed 90 to 110 people. As a result, living things compete fiercely with one another for nourishment. The use of maize and wheat directly in food.

CONCLUSION :

Biofuel are generating a lot of interest since they are nontoxic and environmentally benign. The intriguing topic of biofuel incorporates finance, nature, agronomy, ecological sciences, microbiology, chemical engineering, science, mechanical, and plant science. Original biofuel are now financially viable, and crops that aren't frequently used to produce biofuel or aren't yet economically developed may serve as ideal feedstock for these new fuels. The development of third-generation biofuel is still in its earliest phases. Following the achievement of a goal, the financial feasibility of these cycles will be examined. To boost yields and productivities, lab-scale cycles must be hastened during commercialization. Biofuel are produced using specific biomass feed stocks and techniques. Human foods such as maize, peanuts, sugarcane, soy, and peanut butter.

REFERENCES :

1. Xue, S. J., Chi, Z., Zhang, Y., Li, Y. F., Liu, G. L., Jiang, H., ...& Chi, Z. M. (2018). Fatty acids from oleaginous yeasts and yeast-like fungi and their potential applications. *Critical reviews in biotechnology*, 38(7), 1049-1060.
2. Polburee, P., Yongmanitchai, W., Lertwattanasakul, N., Ohashi, T., Fujiyama, K., & Limtong, S. (2015). Characterization of oleaginous yeasts accumulating high levels of lipid when cultivated in glycerol and their potential for lipid production from biodiesel-derived crude glycerol. *Fungal biology*, 119(12), 1194-1204.
3. Agarwal, A., Rana, M., & Park, J. H. (2018). Advancement in technologies for the depolymerization of lignin. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 181, 115-132.
4. Vickers, N. J. (2017). Animal communication: when I'm calling you, will you answer too? *Current biology*, 27(14), R713-R715.
5. Kumari, D., & Singh, R. (2018). Pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes for biofuel production: a critical review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 90, 877-891.
6. Shields-Menard, S. A., Amirsadeghi, M., French, W. T., & Boopathy, R. (2018). A review on microbial lipids as a potential biofuel. *Bioresource technology*, 259, 451-460.
7. Jeswani, H. K., Chilvers, A., & Azapagic, A. (2020). Environmental sustainability of biofuels: a review. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 476(2243), 20200351.
8. Oumer, A. N., Hasan, M. M., Baheta, A. T., Mamat, R., & Abdullah, A. A. (2018). Bio-based liquid fuels as a source of renewable energy: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 88, 82-98.
9. Hafeez, S., Al-Salem, S. M., Manos, G., & Constantinou, A. (2020). Fuel production using membrane reactors: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 18, 1477-1490.
10. M. Tariq, S. Ali, N. Khalid, Activity of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts, spectroscopic and chromatographic characterization of biodiesel: a review, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 16 (8) (2012) 6303-6316, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.07.005.
11. D. Sharma D. Singh, S.L. Soni, S. Sharma, P. Kumar Sharma, A. Jhalani, A review on feedstocks, production processes, and yield for different generations of biodiesel, *Fuel* (2019) ISSN 0016-23611165539, doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116553
12. Abdullah, B., Muhammad, S. A. F. A. S., Shokravi, Z., Ismail, S., Kassim, K. A., Mahmood, A. N., & Aziz, M. M. A. (2019). Fourth generation biofuel: A review on risks and mitigation strategies. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 107, 37-50.

13. Dien B. S., Cotta M. A. and Jeffries T.W. Bacteria engineered for fuel ethanol production: current status, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 63:258-266, (2003)
14. Wooley, R., Ruth, M., Glassner, D., & Sheehan, J. (1999). Process design and costing of bioethanol technology: a tool for determining the status and direction of research and development. Biotechnology progress, 15(5), 794-803.
15. Krahulec, S., Kratzer, R., Longus, K., & Nidetzky, B. (2012). Comparison of Scheffersomyces stipitis strains CBS 5773 and CBS 6054 with regard to their xylose metabolism: implications for xylose fermentation. MicrobiologyOpen, 1(1), 64-70.
16. Maeda, Y., Yoshino, T., Matsunaga, T., Matsumoto, M., & Tanaka, T. (2018). Marine microalgae for production of biofuels and chemicals. Current opinion in biotechnology, 50, 111-120.
17. Dahman, Y., Syed, K., Begum, S., Roy, P., & Mohtasebi, B. (2019). Biofuels: Their characteristics and analysis. In Biomass, biopolymer-based materials, and bioenergy (pp. 277-325). Woodhead Publishing.
18. Alalwan, H. A., Alminshid, A. H., & Aljaafari, H. A. (2019). Promising evolution of biofuel generations. Subject review. Renewable Energy Focus, 28, 127-139.
19. Hou, S. S., Huang, W. C., Rizal, F. M., & Lin, T. H. (2016). Co-firing of fast pyrolysis bio-oil and heavy fuel oil in a 300-kWth furnace. Applied Sciences, 6(11), 326.
20. Zhou, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Yu, Y., Ji, F., ... & Dong, R. (2016). A comprehensive review on densified solid biofuel industry in China. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 54, 1412-1428.
21. No, S. Y., & No, S. Y. (2019). Production of liquid biofuels from biomass. Application of Liquid Biofuels to Internal Combustion Engines, 1-33.
22. Ingram, J. (2011). A food systems approach to researching food security and its interactions with global environmental change. Food security, 3, 417-431.
23. Moiola, E., Salvati, F., Chiesa, M., Siecha, R. T., Manenti, F., Laio, F., & Rulli, M. C. (2018). Analysis of the current world biofuel production under a water-food-energy nexus perspective. Advances in water resources, 121, 22-31.
24. Spang, E. S., Moomaw, W. R., Gallagher, K. S., Kirshen, P. H., & Marks, D. H. (2014). The water consumption of energy production: an international comparison. Environmental Research Letters, 9(10), 105002.
25. Popp, J., Lakner, Z., Harangi-Rákos, M., & Fari, M. (2014). The effect of bioenergy expansion: Food, energy, and environment. Renewable and sustainable energy reviews, 32, 559-578.
26. gram, J. (2011). A food systems approach to researching food security and its interactions with global environmental change. Food security, 3, 417-431.
27. Kibler, K. M., Reinhart, D., Hawkins, C., Motlagh, A. M., & Wright, J. (2018). Food waste and the food-energy-water nexus: A review of food waste management alternatives. Waste management, 74, 52-62.
28. Hung, A. H. P. (2002). Virtual water trade a quantification of virtual water flows between nations in relation to international crop trade.
29. Molajou, A., Afshar, A., Khosravi, M., Soleimanian, E., Vahabzadeh, M., & Variani, H. A. (2021). A new paradigm of water, food, and energy nexus. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 1-11.
30. Özçimen, D., & Karaosmanoğlu, F. (2004). Production and characterization of bio-oil and biochar from rapeseed cake. Renewable energy, 29(5), 779-787.
31. Wong, Y. C., & Sanggari, V. (2014). Bioethanol production from sugarcane bagasse using fermentation process. Oriental journal of chemistry, 30(2), 507-513.
32. J. Fargione, J. Hill, D. Tilman, S. Polasky, P. Hawthorne, Land clearing and the biofuel carbon debt, Science 319 (5867) (2008) 1235-1238, doi: 10.1126/sci-ence.1152747.
33. A.K. Chapagain, A.Y. Hoekstra, Virtual water trade: a quantification of virtual water flows between nations in relation to international trade of livestock and livestock products, Virtual water trade. Proceedings of the international expert meeting on virtual water trade UNESCO-IHE (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization-Institute for Water Education) Delft, 12, 2003 <https://www.waterfootprint.org/media/downloads/Report12.pdf>.
34. T. Silalertruksa, S.H. Gheewala, Land-water-energy nexus of biofuels development in emerging economies, Role Bioenergy Bioeconomy(2019) 379-402, doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-813056-8.00008-x.